

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 25-CR-89 (LA)

HANNAH C. DUGAN,

Defendant.

JURY QUESTIONNAIRE

The information you provide in this questionnaire will be provided to the Court and the attorneys for both sides in this case. Please answer the following questions as completely as possible, in clear, legible writing or printing.

Because completion of this questionnaire is part of the jury selection process, the answers must be your own and are made subject to your oath as a juror to respond fully and truthfully.

Your name and information will not be disclosed publicly.

Juror No: _____

Name: _____

1. Can you read and understand English easily? Yes No

2. Are you employed? Yes No

If yes, what is your occupation? If retired, what was your prior occupation?

3. Have you or any of your relatives or close friends ever had any interaction with the FBI, the United States Department of Justice, or the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of Wisconsin? Yes No

If so, what was the nature of the interaction? When did it occur?

As a result of the interaction, have you formed any opinions about these agencies that might affect your review of evidence they will present in this case? Yes No

If so, please explain.

4. The defendant is accused of seeking to prevent federal law enforcement from executing an arrest warrant for an individual who was not lawfully in the United States in a public hallway at the Milwaukee County Courthouse on April 18, 2025. The indictment in this case arises from that incident.

a. Have you read or heard anything about this case or the defendant from any source, such as a newspaper, television or radio, the internet, social media, or another person? Yes No

If so, what have you heard or read?

Juror No: _____

b. Have you formed any impression or opinion regarding this case? Yes No

If so, what impression or opinion?

5. Do you have any strong feelings for or against the federal government, the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), Customs & Border Protection (CBP), FBI, or DEA? Yes No

If so, please explain those feelings.

6. Do you have any strong feelings regarding whether the federal government should be involved in immigration enforcement or whether state court officials should be entitled to disregard federal laws with which they may disagree? Yes No

If so, please explain those feelings and whether they would affect your review of evidence in this case?

7. Have you participated in any protests, demonstrations, or marches for or against federal immigration enforcement efforts? Yes No

Juror No: _____

If so, please explain:

8. Have you or any close friends or relatives ever held or applied for any jobs in any area of the judicial system, legal system, or law enforcement? Yes No

If so, what was that job? Who held it? When? Where? Are you or that friend or relative still in that job?

9. The attorneys who have appeared for the United States in this matter are Richard G. Frohling, Kelly B. Watzka, Keith S. Alexander, and Timothy W. Funnell. The case agents from the FBI are Lindsay Schloemer and Erin Lucke. Have any of you had any prior contact with or connection to any of them? Yes No

If so, what was the nature of the contact or connection? Would that affect your review of evidence they presented or arguments they made?

10. The defendant in this case is Hannah C. Dugan, and her attorneys are Steven M. Biskupic of the Steven Biskupic Law Office LLC; Jason D. Luczak and Nicole M. Masnica of Gimbel Reilly Guerin & Brown LLP; Dean A. Strang, John H. Bradley, Randal R. Resch II, and William E. Grau of Strang Bradley LLC; Paul D. Clement of Clement & Murphy PLLC; and Craig Mastantuono of Mastantuono, Coffee & Thomas. Have you, any close friend, or any relative had any prior contact with or connection to the defendant, any of her attorneys, or any individual, group, or entity soliciting money to pay for the defendant's representation in this case? Yes No

If so, what was the nature of the contact or connection? Would that affect your review of evidence presented or any arguments made in this case?

Juror No: _____

11. The jury is the judge of the facts while the judge decides the law. The judge will instruct the jurors on the law that must be applied to the facts of the case. Are you willing to follow the judge's instructions on the law? If you had opinions on what the law should be and your opinions are different from the judge's instructions, do you think you would refuse, or even hesitate, to follow the instructions? If so, please explain.

12. Do you have any religious, moral, or other beliefs that would prevent you from judging the conduct of another person or that would otherwise prevent you from being a fair and impartial juror in this case? Yes No

If so, please explain:

13. Have you or has a relative or a close friend ever been accused of a crime, been the victim of a crime, or been a witness to a crime? Yes No

If so, please explain and indicate whether that experience would affect your ability to serve as an impartial juror in this case.

Juror No: _____

14. Have you or has a relative or a close friend ever been a party or witness or been affected by federal immigration proceedings? Yes No

If so, please explain and indicate whether that experience would affect your ability to serve as an impartial juror in this case.

15. Have you ever served as a juror in the trial of a criminal case in either state or federal court? Yes No

If so, please explain the nature of the case and whether you reached a verdict.

16. Can you think of any other matter that I have not asked about that would have some bearing on your qualifications to sit as a juror in this case or that may prevent you from rendering a fair and impartial verdict? Yes No

If so, please explain.
